Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 09235 01 OF 02 191804Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 NSCE-00 SSO-00 ICAE-00 INRE-00 SY-05 MCT-01 ACDA-12 TRSE-00 /068 W

O R 191711Z MAY 78 FM AMEMBASSY BONN TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8708 INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY PARIS USMISSION USBERLIN IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 01 OF 02 BONN 09235

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PGOV, BQG, AKB, PORS

SUBJECT: REQUEST TO PERMIT ARMING OF PROSECUTORS AND JUDGES AT LORENZ KIDNAPPING TRIAL IN BERLIN

REF: STATE 120684 AND PREVIOUS (NOTAL)

BEGIN SUMMARY: THE SUBJECT WAS DISCUSSED BY THE ALLIED BONN GROUP REPS ON MAY 12 AND MAY 19. THE BRITISH AND US BONN GROUP REPS ARE IN FUNDAMENTAL AGREEMENT THAT THE JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO CARRY WEAPONS IN BERLIN, ALTHOUGH THE UK REP SUGGESTED THAT THEY SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO BECOME "TEMPORARY POLICE," A SUGGESTION WHICH WE CONSIDER UNNECESSARY AND ILLOGICAL. ON MAY 12, THE FRENCH BONN GROUP REP SAID HE HAD NO FUNDAMENTAL OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSAL IF A WAY COULD BE FOUND BUT RESERVED HIS POSITION. AFTER CONSULTATION WITH HIS EMBASSY AND THE FRENCH MISSION IN BERLIN, THE FRENCH REP INFORMED US MAY 19 THAT THE QUESTION IS BEING REFERRED TO THE QUAI FOR DECISION BUT THAT THE LOCAL RECOMMENDATION IS A NEGATIVE ONE. IN ACCORD WITH THE DEPARTMENT'S VIEWS IN REF A, WE RECOM-CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 09235 01 OF 02 191804Z

MEND THAT ASSISTANT SECRETARY VEST SEEK TO DISCUSS THE MATTER TRIPARTITELY WITH UK POLITICAL DIRECTOR HIBBERT AND FRENCH DIRECTOR FOR EUROPEAN AFFAIRS ANDREANI DURING THE WASHINGTON MEETING. ACTION REQUESTED: SEE PARAGRAPH 7.

1. AT A MAY 12 MEETING OF THE ALLIED BONN GROUP REPS

ON THE SUBJECT, THE US REP SUGGESTED THAT WE RECOMMEND THAT THE ALLIES IN BERLIN PERMIT THE SMALL NUMBER OF JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS INVOLVED IN THE LORENZ TRIAL TO CARRY ARMS. HE SAID THAT ALLIED ORDER NO. 2 (NOT ALLIED LAW NO. 2, WHICH DEALS WITH DE-NAZIFICATION) APPEARED TO PERMIT THIS, WITH ITS REFERENCE TO "ALL TYPES OF FIREARMS ISSUED TO THE CIVIL POLICE AND LOCAL AUTHORITIES." HE SAID HE REALIZED THAT THERE WERE PRACTICAL PROBLEMS INVOLVED SINCE OTHER PERSONS MIGHT WISH TO CARRY ARMS BUT THAT THE ALLIES, IN THE EXERCISE OF SUPREME AUTHORITY IN BERLIN, COULD REFUSE THIS EITHER ON THE GROUND THAT THEY WERE NOT "AUTHORITIES" AND THEREFORE NOT COVERED BY ALLIED ORDER NO. 2 OR BY A SIMPLE FINDING, IF THE APPLICANTS WERE "AUTHORITIES." THAT THE DANGER THEY FACED WAS NOT ADEQUATE TO PERMIT SUCH CARRIAGE OF GUNS. IN SHORT, HE SUGGESTED THERE WAS NO NEED TO DEVELOP A DETAILED LIST OF CRITERIA.

2. THE UK REP (EYERS), SAID THAT HE HAD REQUESTED INSTRUCTIONS, WHICH HE HAD NOT YET RECEIVED, BUT THAT HE HAD RECOMMENDED A LINE SIMILAR TO THE ONE THE US REP SUGGESTED. HE SAID THAT HE WAS STRUCK BY THE FACT THAT THE ALLIES ALLOW SPORTSMEN, SHOOTING CLUBS, ETC. TO HAVE WEAPONS AND THAT WE WOULD LOOK "BLOODY SILLY" IN THE LIGHT OF THIS IF SOMETHING CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 09235 01 OF 02 191804Z

HAPPENED TO ONE OF THE JUDGES OR PROSECUTORS, WHOM WE HAD NOT PERMITTED TO CARRY ARMS. AS TO HOW WE MIGHT ACCOMPLISH THIS GOAL, HE SAID THAT WE SHOULD MAKE THE JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS "TEMPORARY POLICE" AND ISSUE THEM POLICE WEAPONS. THIS WOULD, OF COURSE, REQUIRE A CHANGE IN THE POLICE REGULATIONS, WHICH THE GERMANS WOULD NOT LIKE BECAUSE OF "RECHTSEINHEIT" (LEGAL UNITY BETWEEN BERLIN AND THE FRG) BUT IF THE FRG INSISTED ON THE PROPOSAL THAT ARMS BE ISSUED, THEY SHOULD BE PREPARED TO PAY A PRICE. HE ADMITTED THAT THIS MIGHT NOT BE AN APPROPRIATE SUGGESTION, AT LEAST IN THE CASE OF JUDGES.

3. IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION BY THE US REP, EYERS SAID HE THOUGHT THAT ALLIED ORDER NO. 2 IS "A BIT THIN" AND THAT THE ORDER, BY REFERRING TO "LOCAL AUTHORITIES", MEANT TO INCLUDE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS, ETC. WHO ARE DIRECTLY CONNECTED WITH PUBLIC ORDER. THE US REP RESPONDED THAT THE POINT IS THAT ALLIED ORDER NO. 2 DOES NOT, BY ITS TERMS, EXCLUDE THE POSSIBILITY OF ISSUING WEAPONS TO JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS. THE MEANING OF "LOCAL AUTHORITIES" IS AMBIGUOUS AT WORST AND,

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 09235 02 OF 02 191731Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 NSCE-00 SSO-00 ICAE-00 INRE-00 SY-05 MCT-01 ACDA-12 TRSE-00 /068 W

O R 191711Z MAY 78
FM AMEMBASSY BONN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8709
INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY PARIS
USMISSION USBERLIN IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 02 OF 02 BONN 09235

SINCE THE ALLIES ARE PRESUMABLY FREE TO INTERPRET THE AMBIGUITY IN THIS CASE, WE SHOULD NOT RESOLVE THE AMBIGUITY MORE RESTRICTIVELY THAN DESIRED. IT WOULD NOT APPEAR LOGICAL TO REQUIRE THE JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS TO BECOME "TEMPORARY POLICE" SINCE, UNLIKE THE PROPOSAL FOR PERMITTING PRIVATE BODYGUARDS TO CARRY WEAPONS, "LOCAL AUTHORITIES" CAN CARRY GUNS.

4. THE FRENCH REP (RICHARD) SAID THAT THE QUESTION OF POLITICAL OPPORTUNITY SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AND WE SHOULD CAREFULLY AVOID BREACHING QUADRIPARTITE LEGISLATION. HE SAID THERE IS SOME QUESTION WHETHER THE SENAT IS FULLY BEHIND THIS PROPOSAL AND THAT MAYOR STOBBE, TO HIS KNOWLEDGE, HAD NOT BEEN HEARD TO SUPPORT IT. IN ADDITION, THERE ARE "LAWYERS" IN BERLIN WHO WILL SEE THIS AS A SUCCESS IN THEIR ATTEMPTS TO HAVE ALLIED LAW UPDATED. THE FOREGOING NOTWITHSTANDING, RICHARD SAID HE PERSONALLY HAD NO "FUNDAMENTAL OBJECTION" TO THE PROPOSAL TO ALLOW JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS TO CARRY ARMS IF A WAY CAN BE FOUND. THIS SHOULD, CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 09235 02 OF 02 191731Z

HOWEVER, BE KEPT AS CONFIDENTIAL AS POSSIBLE. HE SAID HE COULD NOT AGREE TO RECOMMEND THE PROPOSAL HOWEVER, PRIOR TO CONSULTATION WITHIN HIS EMBASSY AND WITH THE FRENCH MISSION IN BERLIN.

5. ON MAY 19, RICHARD SAID THAT AFTER FURTHER CONSIDERATION, HIS EMBASSY IS ASKING FOR INSTRUCTIONS BUT THE FRENCH MOOD HERE IS NOT FAVORABLE. HE SAID THE EMBASSY IS RECOMMENDING TO THE QUAI THAT THE PROPOSAL NOT BE APPROVED FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

A) THERE IS NO VALUE, FROM A PRACTICAL POINT OF VIEW, IN PERMITTING JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS TO CARRY ARMS. THE EXPERTS AGREE THAT THEIR SECURITY WILL NOT BE ENHANCED AND THE ONLY BENEFIT WILL BE A PSYCHOLOGICAL ONE.

B) IT WILL BE A CHANGE IN THE LONG-STANDING PRACTICE IN BERLIN.

C) IT WILL BE A BAD PRECEDENT AND THE ALLIES WILL BE UNDER PRESSURE TO PERMIT OTHERS TO CARRY ARMS IN BERLIN

D) IT IS POLITICALLY UNWISE (COMMENT: PRESUMABLY IN PART BECAUSE IT WOULD REACT TO THE FRG AND SENAT PRESSURE AND THE PUBLICITY GIVEN THIS MATTER) TO CHANGE OUR PRACTICE.

THE FRENCH EMBASSY RECOGNIZES UNFAVORABLE PUBLICITY WILL FOLLOW IF SOMETHING HAPPENS TO A JUDGE OR PROSECUTOR AND THE ALLIES ARE CRITICIZED FOR NOT HAVING PERMITTED THEM TO CARRY ARMS. HOWEVER, HE SAID THAT WE CAN DEFEND OUR ACTION ON THE BASIS OF ALLIED LAW, LONG-STANDING PRACTICE AND THE FACT THAT PERSONAL CARRIAGE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 09235 02 OF 02 191731Z

OR ARMS DOES NOT ENHANCE SECURITY.

6. WE REVIEWED THE COUNTER ARGUMENTS WITH RICHARD BUT THE FRENCH HERE ARE FIRM. WE EXPRESSED APPRECIATION FOR HIS CLEAR EXPOSITION OF THE FRENCH REASONING AND SAID THAT IT WOULD PROVIDE A USEFUL BASIS FOR DISCUSSION IN CASE THE DEPARTMENT WISHES TO RAISE THE QUESTION AT THE WASHINGTON MEETING. THE ALLIED REPS AGREED THAT IF THE MATTER IS TO BE RAISED, IT SHOULD BEST BE DONE WITH ANDREANI AND HIBBERT BUT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE FRG REPRESENTATIVE SINCE THIS IS AT PRESENT A PURELY ALLIED MATTER. RICHARD AND EYERS ASKED THAT WE

INFORM THEM IF THE DEPARTMENT PLANS TO RAISE THE QUESTION IN ORDER THAT THEY CAN ALERT THEIR REPRESENTATIVES.

7. COMMENT: IT APPEARS TO US THAT IF THE MATTER IS TO BE RESOLVED IN THE MANNER THE US WANTS, THIS MUST BE DONE AT A HIGHER LEVEL THAN IN THE BONN GROUP OR AMONG THE MISSIONS IN BERLIN. THE DEPARTMENT'S SUGGESTION THAT THE SUBJECT MIGHT BE DISCUSSED AT THE WASHINGTON MEETING IS AN EXCELLENT ONE. WE RECOMMEND THAT IT BE DISCUSSED TRIPARTITELY AMONG ASSISTANT SECRETARY VEST, HIBBERT AND ANDREANI IF AT ALL POSSIBLE. PLEASE ADVISE US OF THE DEPARTMENT'S INTENTIONS.

8. ACTION REQUESTED: SEE PARAGRAPH 7. STOESSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 jan 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: KIDNAPPING, SMALL ARMS, SECURITY, JUDGES, TRIALS, TERRORISTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 19 may 1978 Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014 Disposition Event:

Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978BONN09235
Document Source: CORE

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Expiration:

Film Number: D780211-0942

Format: TEL From: BONN

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780542/aaaabiuk.tel Line Count: 239

Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History: Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Message ID: e2518c9a-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 78 STATE 120684

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 06 jun 2005 **Review Event:** Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2643169 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: REQUEST TO PERMIT ARMING OF PROSECUTORS AND JUDGES AT LORENZ KIDNAPPING TRIAL IN BERLIN

TAGS: PGOV, PORS, WB, BQG, AKB

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/e2518c9a-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014